

Public Workshop for Sunrise Greenway Improvements

Cirby Ranch Neighbors

April 23, 2026

Attendees: 20 people

- I. Introductions
 - a. Tara Gee, Park Planning & Development Manager
 - b. Jill Geller, Parks, Recreation & Libraries Director
 - c. Josh Cervantes, Park Development Project Manager
 - d. Jennifer Adona, Office Assistant

- II. Project Background
 - a. The Sunrise Greenway is bordered by Sun Tree Drive and Sunrise Parkway
 - b. The purpose of the Greenway is to provide an aesthetically pleasing green space as people enter into Roseville when traveling from Citrus Heights
 - c. This area is a component of an overall streetscape improvement effort that includes the newly completed Cirby medians and the in-progress Rocky Ridge landscape. Cirby landscape improvements from Rocky Ridge to Sunrise will be a part of the Sunrise Greenway project

- III. Purpose of the Meeting
 - a. To share the City's research regarding current uses and area demographics
 - b. To gather resident feedback

- IV. Background
 - a. A master plan to add a children's play area and picnic area was approved by Council in 2007
 - b. The proposal was to add a neighborhood park element to the Sunrise Greenway because the closest park to this neighborhood was Kenwood Oaks, which is not walkable
 - i. By adding a couple of park features, this would provide recreation within walking distance and without crossing major streets
 - ii. The typical "stay and play" value of a neighborhood park is about an hour
 - iii. City's goal is to provide play areas within a ten-minute walk from homes
 - c. People are currently using the Greenway for recreation
 - i. Observations include: soccer, disc golf, walking
 - ii. Placer.ai reports show people coming from home to use the park and going home after playing or walking in the park
 - iii. Daily visits show use seven days a week with the highest number of visits on Mondays
 - iv. Visitor demographics based on an average of 70% of visitors are:
 1. Ages 0 – 14 at 17.9%
 2. Ages 30 – 40 at 14.1% (potentially parents)
 3. Ages 50 – 60 at 11.2% (potentially parents)
 4. Ages 60 – 70 at 9.5% (potentially grandparents)
 5. Ages 70 and above at 13%

- v. Neighborhood demographics show a fair amount of all ages
 - 1. Neighborhood demographics are:
 - a. Ages 0 – 14 at 16.9%
 - b. Ages 30 – 40 at 10.2%
 - c. Ages 50 – 60 at 12.8%
 - d. Ages 60 – 70 at 12.9%
 - e. Ages 70 and above at 18.2%
- vi. The visitor demographics is reflective of the Cirby Ranch neighborhood demographics

V. Options for review

- a. The City presented three options for feedback
 - i. Option #1: enhance the landscaping and irrigation only. The main focus is to ensure the irrigation is repaired and that new landscaping for increased curb appeal is installed
 - ii. Option #2: option #1 and new benches and picnic tables along the pathway
 - iii. Option #3: option #1 and add a children's play and picnic area
 - 1. The location of this proposed feature is along the existing path and at the widest area on the green space
 - 2. From Sun Tree Drive to this location, it is 100 yards away
 - 3. From Sunrise Boulevard to this location, it is 70 yards away
 - 4. The size of the proposed play area is approximately the same size at the play area at Kenwood Oaks Park off of Tanzania
 - 5. The proposal includes two shaded picnic tables
 - 6. Since the pathway is higher than the adjacent grass area, a two tiered planter system is proposed with fencing located on the top tier. This will provide added safety to enclose the space and also to protect people from the finished grade to the tiered planters

VI. Resident Feedback

- a. There are homeless people camping on the south end at the drainage area. You can't see them from the road.
- b. We've been waiting for this since 2007. My children are grown up, but now I have grandchildren who can use the park
- c. We've had to use Kenwood Oaks Park when my kids were young. This would be a great feature to add
- d. I support adding the playground and picnic area
- e. We have concerns of existing half-way house near the proximity of the children's play area.
- f. Concern of erosion on the North East corner of the Greenway, located on the backside of the existing berm near Sun Tree Drive.

VII. Questions

- a. *Will there be any quality controls for maintenance once improvements have been made?* Yes, we have service level standards and will be following these
- b. *Greenway vs park funding?* We do have funding to improve the Greenway
- c. *The large berm at Sun Tree is a safety concern. There is erosion and homeless activity occurring. Can we adjust berm for beautification/safety?* We will review
- d. *In triangle space on the south end at the City border to Citrus Heights, there is homeless activity, can we enhance that space to be a turf area?* We'd need to

review the situation with irrigation to see if that is possible. At minimum, we can look at maintenance of that area to be more regular

- e. *Can we have a shade structure over the playground?* We can look at that
- f. *Do we have funding?* We do have the funds for improvements
- g. *What is the construction timeline?* We have several steps to get through before we can break ground. We first need Council support on whichever option is chosen, we will need to complete construction plans and then bid. Construction could start as early as Fall 2026 or as late as Spring 2027
- h. *Can we add doggie waste stations?* Per ordinance, dogs are not allowed in developed parks. By adding waste stations, we infer that it is ok when it is in conflict with the ordinance. This Greenway has been used differently so we would have to think more about this.
- i. *Can we make existing natural trail improvements?* We will review
- j. *Is the Council making a decision at a meeting? Is this a public meeting?* Since this was approved in 2007, we will at first update the Council. If they want this to be shared at a formal meeting, all Council meetings are public

VIII. Conclusion

- a. A show of hands on each option was asked for. There were no votes for options #1 and #2. Option #3 received 17 votes of support
- b. If people wanted to share the information discussed at this meeting, the slide deck will be posted on the City's web site at www.roseville.ca.gov/parksintheworks
- c. Meeting attendees were asked to share this information with their neighbors
- d. Next Steps:
 - i. We will accept additional written comments until May 8, 2026 by email at parksintheworks@roseville.ca.gov
 - ii. We will review feedback
 - iii. Make recommendations to Council
 - iv. Develop plans
 - v. Build.